arXiv:1703.00850v1 [math.DG] 2 Mar 2017

ON THE EXISTENCE OF CLOSED GEODESICS ON 2-ORBIFOLDS

CHRISTIAN LANGE

Abstract. We show that on every compact Riemannian 2-orbifold there exist infinitely many closed geodesics of positive length.

1. Introduction

Existence and properties of closed geodesics on Riemannian manifolds have been subject of intense research since Poincaré's work [P05] from the beginning of the 20th century. A prominent result in the field is a theorem by Gromoll and Meyer that guarentees the existence of infinitely many closed geodesics on compact Riemannian manifolds on some assumption on the cohomology ring [GM69a]. This assumption is satisfied by a large class of manifolds but not by spheres. Generalizing ideas of Birkhoff [B27], Franks [F92] and Bangert [B93] together proved the existence of infinitely many closed geodesics on every Riemannian 2-sphere.

In [GH06] Guruprasad and Haefliger generalized the result by Gromoll and Meyer to the setting of Riemannian orbifolds. In the present paper we generalize the result by Bangert and Franks in the following way.

Theorem. *On every compact Riemannian 2-orbifold there exist infinitely many geometrically distinct closed geodesics of positive length.*

For spindle orbifolds this statement was before only known in the rotational symmetric case [Bo07]. In [BL96] the existence of a single geodesic in the regular part of any 2-orbifold with isolated singularities is claimed. The alleged geodesic in the regular part is obtained as a limit of locally length minimizing curves contained in the complement of shrinking δ-neighborhoods of the singular points. Note, however, that there are examples in which such curves have a limit that is not contained in the regular part. For instance, on a plane with two singular points of cone angle π which is otherwise flat, curves of minimal length that enclose both δ-neighborhoods converge to a straight segment between the singular points.

To prove our result we first reduce its statement to the case of simply connected spindle orbifolds (see Section 2). Using the curve-shortening flow we are able to prove the existence of an embedded geodesic in the regular part of any simply connected spindle orbifold and to apply ideas from Bangert's proof and Frank's result in this case. Our proof relies on the observation that embedded loops in the regular part that evolve under the curve-shortening flow either stay in the regular part forever, or collapse into a singular point in finite time (see Section 4). The possibility of a limit curve being not contained in the regular part is then excluded by topological arguments (see Proposition 4.5).

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 53C22, 58E10.

The author is partially supported by the DFG funded project SFB TRR 191.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. **Orbifolds.** Recall that a *length space* is a metric space in which the distance of any two points can be realized as the infimum of the lengths of all rectifiable paths connecting these points, cf. [BBI01]. A Riemannian orbifold can be defined as follows.

Definition 2.1. An *n-dimensional Riemannian orbifold* $\mathcal{O}$ is a length space such that for each point $x \in \mathcal{O}$, there exists a neighborhood U of x in $\mathcal{O}$, an n-dimensional Riemannian manifold M and a finite group G acting by isometries on M such that U and M/G are isometric.

Behind this definition lies the fact that an effective, isometric action of a finite group on a simply connected Riemannian manifold can be recovered from the corresponding metric quotient [La16a]. In particular, the underlying topological space of a Riemannian orbifold in this sense admits a smooth orbifold structure and a compatible Riemannian structure in the usual sense (cf. [BH99, GH06]) that in turn induces the metric. For a point x on a Riemannian orbifold, the isotropy group of a preimage of x in a Riemannian manifold chart is uniquely determined up to conjugation. Its conjugacy class in $\mathrm{O}(n)$ is denoted as G_x and is called the *local group* of $\mathcal{O}$ at x. The points with trivial local group form the *regular part* of $\mathcal{O}$, which is a Riemannian manifold. All other points are called *singular*. We will be particularly concerned with 2-orbifolds all of whose singular points are isolated. In this case all local groups are cyclic and we refer to their orders as the *orders of the singular points*.

We are interested in (orbifold) geodesics defined in the following way.

Definition 2.2. An (orbifold) *geodesic* on a Riemannian orbifold is a continuous path that can locally be lifted to a geodesic in a Riemannian manifold chart. A closed (orbifold) geodesic is a continuous loop that is a (orbifold) geodesic on each subinterval.

In the following, by a (closed) geodesic we always mean a (closed) orbifold geodesic. A geodesic that hits an isolated singularity is not locally length minimizing. On a 2-orbifold such a geodesic is either reflected or goes straight through the singular point depending on whether the order of the (local group of the) singular point is even or odd. We say that two geodesics are *geometrically distinct* if their geometric trajectories differ. Given a closed geodesic c the iterations $c^m(t) := c(mt)$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, form a whole *tower* of geometrically equivalent closed geodesics. In the following, by infinitely many closed geodesics we always mean infinitely many geometrically distinct closed geodesics of positive length.

We need the following concept.

Definition 2.3. A *covering orbifold* or *orbi-cover* of a Riemannian orbifold $\mathcal{O}$ is a Riemannian orbifold $\mathcal{O}'$ together with a surjective map $\varphi : \mathcal{O}' \to \mathcal{O}$ such that each point $x \in \mathcal{O}$ has a neighborhood U isometric to some M/G for which each connected component U_i of $\varphi^{-1}(U)$ is isometric to M/G_i for some subgroup $G_i < G$ such that the isometries respect the projections.

An orbifold is called *simply connected*, if it does not admit a nontrival orbi-cover. An orbifold is called *good* (or developable) if it is covered by a manifold. Otherwise it is called *bad* [Thu79]. The only bad 2-orbifolds are depicted in Figure 1, cf. [Sc03, Thm. 2.3]. In fact, every compact good 2-orbifold is *very good* meaning that it is finitely covered by a (necessarily compact) manifold [Sc03, Thm. 2.5]. Clearly, if an orbifold is finitely covered



FIGURE 1. A (p,q)-spindle orbifold $S^2(p,q)$, i.e. a 2-orbifold with at most two isolated singularities of order p and q (with p or q perhaps being 1). Note that $(p,1)$-spindle orbifolds are also known as teardrops. The orbifolds in the picture are bad if and only if $p \neq q$ and simply connected as orbifolds if and only if p and q are coprime.

by an orbifold with infinitely many closed geodesics, then it has itself infinitely many closed geodesics. Since all Riemannian surfaces are known to have infinitely many geodesics, in view of proving our main result it suffices to treat simply connected *spindle orbifolds*, i.e. spindle orbifolds $S^2(p,q)$ with p and q coprime (see Figure 1).

2.2. **Orbifold loop spaces.** We would like to apply Morse theory and homological methods to find closed geodesics on orbifolds. To this end a notion of a loop space is needed. Such a notion is defined in [GH06]. To any compact Riemannian orbifold a free loop space $\Lambda\mathcal{O}$ is associated and endowed with a natural structure of a complete Riemannian Hilbert orbifold. We sketch this construction in the appendix, Section 6.1. Here we give an alternative description of $\Lambda\mathcal{O}$ in the case in which $\mathcal{O}$ has only isolated singularities. Let γ be a loop on $\mathcal{O}$. In the following we always assume that such a loop $\gamma : S^1 \to \mathcal{O}$ is of class H^1, i.e. that it locally lifts to H^1 curves on manifold charts.

Definition 2.4. A *development of* γ is a loop $\hat{\gamma}$ on a Riemannian manifold M together with a map $M \to \mathcal{O}$ which is locally an orbi-covering and which projects $\hat{\gamma}$ to γ. The development is called *geodesic* if $\hat{\gamma}$ is a geodesic on M.

Every loop on $\mathcal{O}$ can be locally lifted to Riemannian manifold charts. A development of a loop γ can be obtained by gluing together the Riemannian manifold charts that support the local lifts. Two developments $(M_1, \hat{\gamma}_1)$ and $(M_2, \hat{\gamma}_2)$ are said to be equivalent if there exist neighborhoods M_1' of $\hat{\gamma}_1$ in M_1 and M_2' of $\hat{\gamma}_2$ in M_2 and an isometry $M_1' \to M_2'$ that maps $\hat{\gamma}_1$ to $\hat{\gamma}_2$.

Definition 2.5. An *orbifold loop* is a loop γ on $\mathcal{O}$ together with an equivalence class of developments of γ. The orbifold loop is called *geodesic* if the developments are geodesic.

This notion is compatible with the notion of an orbifold geodesic. Every geodesic orbifold loop projects to an orbifold geodesic in the sense of Definition 2.2 and every orbifold geodesic admits a unique equivalence class of geodesic developments. As a set the free loop space $\Lambda\mathcal{O}$ is the collection of all orbifold loops. To describe its metric stucture we proceed as follows. Let $\mathcal{D} = (M, \hat{\gamma})$ be a development defining an orbifold loop γ. The free loop space ΛM has a natural structure of a Riemannian Hilbert manifold [K82] and we have $\hat{\gamma} \in \Lambda M$. A loop $\hat{\gamma}' \in \Lambda M$ can be regarded as an orbifold loop represented by the development $(M, \hat{\gamma}')$. If γ is not a constant loop at a singular point of $\mathcal{O}$, then we can choose a neighborhood $U_{\mathcal{D}}$

of $\hat{\gamma}$ in ΛM such that any pair of distinct loops $\hat{\gamma}', \hat{\gamma}'' \in U_{\mathcal{D}}$ projects to distinct loops on $\mathcal{O}$ and hence corresponds to distinct orbifold loops. The $U_{\mathcal{D}}$ obtained in this way patch together to a Riemannian Hilbert manifold $\Lambda_{\mathrm{reg}}\mathcal{O}$ by identifying elements that correspond to the same orbifold loop. In fact, if distinct $\hat{\gamma}_1' \in U_{\mathcal{D}_1}$ and $\hat{\gamma}_2' \in U_{\mathcal{D}_2}$ are identified then, by the definition of the equivalence relation on developments, a whole open neighborhood of $\hat{\gamma}_1'$ in $U_{\mathcal{D}_1}$ is isometrically identified with an open neighborhood of $\hat{\gamma}_2'$ in $U_{\mathcal{D}_2}$. The free loop space $\Lambda = \Lambda\mathcal{O}$ is the metric completion of $\Lambda_{\mathrm{reg}}\mathcal{O}$. It is a Riemannian Hilbert orbifold with singular set $\Lambda\mathcal{O}\backslash\Lambda_{\mathrm{reg}}\mathcal{O}$ corresponding to constant loops at the singular points of $\mathcal{O}$, cf. Section 6.1. Given an atlas of $\mathcal{O}$ the free loop space $\Lambda\mathcal{O}$ can written as a quotient of a Riemannian Hilbert manifold Ω_X, where X is the disjoint union of the manifold charts of the atlas, and this description provides local manifold charts for $\Lambda\mathcal{O}$. For a detailed description of the orbifold structure of $\Lambda\mathcal{O}$ we refer the reader to [GH06] (cf. Appendix 6.1). On $\Lambda\mathcal{O}$ the energy function E is defined and its critical points correspond to the closed geodesics. Since all singular points of $\Lambda\mathcal{O}$ have zero energy an explicit knowledge of their structure will not be relevant for our argument (cf. Section 6). For some $\kappa > 0$ we write $\Lambda^\kappa = \Lambda^\kappa\mathcal{O} = \Lambda\mathcal{O} \cap E^{-1}([0,\kappa))$ and for a geodesic loop c with $E(c) = \kappa$ we set $\Lambda(c) = \Lambda\mathcal{O}(c) = \Lambda^\kappa\mathcal{O}$. The spaces Ω_X and $\Lambda\mathcal{O}$ admit finite dimensional approximations similarly as in the manifold case, see Section 6.1. From our description of $\Lambda\mathcal{O}$ it is clear that the index $\mathrm{ind}(c)$ and the nullity $\nu(c)$ of a nontrivial orbifold geodesic can be defined as in the manifold case. Moreover, it shows that the statements used in [GM69a] on the index and the nullity of iterated geodesics and on local loop space homology remain valid in the following form since their proofs involve only local arguments. Note that there is a natural S^1-action on $\Lambda\mathcal{O}$ given by reparametrization.

Lemma 2.6. *For a Riemannian orbifold with isolated singularities and a nontrivial orbifold geodesic c on it the following statements hold true.*

- *(i) Either* $\mathrm{ind}(c^m) = 0$ *for all m or* $\mathrm{ind}(c^m)$ *grows linearly in m* [GM69a, Lemma 1].
- *(ii) There are positive integers $k_1, \dots, k_s$ and a sequence $m_j^i \in \mathbb{N}$, $i > 0$, $j = 1, \dots, s$, such that the numbers $m_j^i k_j$ are mutually distinct, $m_j^1 = 1$, $\{m_j^i k_j\} = \mathbb{N}$, and $\nu(c^{m_j^i k_j}) = \nu(c^{k_j})$* [GM69a, Lemma 2].
- *(iii) There exists some k such that $H_p(\Lambda(c^m) \cup S^1 c^m, \Lambda(c^m)) = 0$ except possibly for* $\mathrm{ind}(c^m) \le p \le \mathrm{ind}(c^m) + k$ [GM69a, Corollary 1].

3. Homology generated by iterated geodesics

We will need the following slight generalizations of statements in [BK83]. The proofs are essentially the same as in [BK83]. For convenience we summarize the arguments in the appendix, Section 6. Recall that a geodesic c is called *homologically invisible* if $H_*(\Lambda(c) \cup S^1 c, \Lambda(c)) = 0$.

Theorem 3.1 (cf. [BK83, Theorem 3])**.** *Let $\mathcal{O}$ be a compact orbifold with isolated singularities and let c be a closed geodesic on $\mathcal{O}$ such that $ind(c^m) = 0$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$, i.e. c does not have conjugate points when defined on $\mathbb{R}$. Suppose c is neither homologically invisible nor an absolute minimum of E in its free homotopy class. Then there exist infinitely many closed geodesics on $\mathcal{O}$.*

Note that if $\mathcal{O}$ is simply connected, then a nontrivial geodesic c is never an absolute minimum in its free homotopy class.

Lemma 3.2 (cf. [BK83, Lemma 2])**.** *Let $\mathcal{O}$ be a compact orbifold with isolated singularities and let $\{S^1c_i | i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be a sequence of pairwise disjoint critical orbits such that the c_i are not absolute minima of E in their free homotopy classes. Suppose there exists $p \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $H_p(\Lambda(c_i) \cup S^1c_i, \Lambda(c_i))) \neq 0$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Then there exist infinitely many closed geodesics on $\mathcal{O}$.*

Note that the geodesics c_i in the lemma need not be geometrically distinct.

4. Existence of simple closed geodesics

The *curve-shortening flow* can be used to prove the existence of a simple closed geodesic on any Riemannian 2-sphere [G89]. In this section we discuss properties of the curve-shortening flow on Riemannian 2-orbifolds that allow us to prove the existence of a *separating geodesic* on every simply connected Riemannian spindle orbifold. Here a loop embedded in the regular part of a spindle orbifold is called *separating* if each connected component of its complement contains at most one singular point. Let us first recall some well-known properties of the curve-shortening flow (cf. [G89, CZ01, HP96, CMP15]). For a smoothly embedded curve $\gamma = \Gamma_0 : S^1 \to M$ in a closed Riemannian surface there exists a unique maximal smooth curve-shortening flow $\Gamma_t : S^1 \to M$ for $t \in [0, T)$, $T > 0$, satisfying $\frac{\partial \Gamma_t}{\partial t} = kN$ where k is the curvature of Γ_t and N is its normal vector, which moreover depends continuously on the initial condition γ. This flow can be considered as the negative gradient flow of the length functional. An important feature of the curve-shortening flow is that it is a *geometric flow* meaning that the evolution of the geometric image of γ does not depend on the initial parametrization. In the situation above the curve Γ_t is embedded for each $t \in [0, T)$. Moreover, if T is finite, then Γ_t converges to a point. If T is infinite, then the curvature of Γ_t converges to zero in the C^∞-norm and a subsequence of Γ_t converges to a closed embedded geodesic on M. In particular, T is finite if the length of γ is sufficiently small [G89, Lem. 7.1]. If M is not complete but the curvature is still bounded there is a single other alternative for finite T namely if points on γ do not have a limit on M for $t \to T$. In particular, we see that the curve-shortening flow of an embedded curve in the regular part of a compact 2-orbifold is (a priori) defined until the flow hits a singular point or collapses to a point. In fact, if the 2-orbifold has only isolated singularities more is true as will be discussed below. One possibility to analyse the local behaviour of a curve-shortening flow $\Gamma_t : S^1 \to M$ on a manifold at a space-time point (x, t), $t \leq T = 0 < \infty$, is to blow up the flow at (x, t) by a sequence of parabolic rescalings $((M, g), t) \to ((M, \lambda_1 g), \lambda_i^2(t - T) + T)$, $\lambda_i \to \infty$, where g denotes the Riemannian metric. Such a blow-up sequence subconverges to a self-shrinking tangent flow of an embedded curve on T_xM (cf. [CMP15]) which, according to a result of Abresch and Langer [AL86], is either a self-shrinking circle or a static straight line through the origin. In the first case Γ_t converges to x as a "round point". Another feature of the curve-shortening flow is that it satisfies the so-called *avoidance principle*, meaning that two initially disjoint curves remain disjoint under the flow. Moreover, by the strong maximum principle for parabolic PDE it is impossible for a closed curve to be disjoint from a (possible noncompact) geodesic for $t < t_0$ and touch it tangentially at $t = t_0$.

The following statement says that the curve-shortening flow cannot spread out arbitrarily in finite time.

Lemma 4.1 ([G89, Corollary 1.7])**.** *If $T < \infty$, then for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $t_1 < T$, and an open set U such that U contains every $\Gamma_t(S^1)$, $t_1 < t < T$, and U is contained in the ε-neighborhood of each $\Gamma_t(S^1)$, $t_1 < t < T$.*

Now we analyse the evolution under the curve-shortening flow of a separating loop on a simply connected spindle orbifold.

Lemma 4.2. *Let $\mathcal{O} \cong S^2(p,q)$ be a Riemannian spindle orbifold, let γ be a separating loop on $\mathcal{O}$ and let $\Gamma : S^1 \times [0,T) \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{reg}}$ be the evolution of γ under the curve-shortening flow in the regular part of $\mathcal{O}$. If Γ hits a singular point x of order $p > 2$ in finite time T, then the flow converges to this point.*

Proof. By Lemma 4.1 we can assume that there exists a point $y \neq x$ on $\mathcal{O}$ which is avoided by Γ such that each Γ_t separates x and y. If $\mathcal{O}$ has two singular points, we choose y to be a singular point. The open subset $\mathcal{O}\backslash\{y\}$ of $\mathcal{O}$ admits a p-fold manifold covering M with a cyclic group of deck transformations G of order p that acts by rotations around the preimage $\hat{x}$ of x in M. The preimages $\hat{\Gamma}_t$ of $\Gamma_t(S^1)$ in M are embedded G-invariant circles and are solutions of the curve-shortening flow. By parabolically blowing up this flow at $(\hat{x}, T)$ as discussed above we obtain a G-invariant tangent flow. Because of $p = |G| > 2$ this tangent flow must be a circle and so $\hat{\Gamma}_t$ converges to $\hat{x}$ (cf. the discussion preceding Lemma 4.1). In particular, Γ_t converges to x. □

Lemma 4.3. *Let $\mathcal{O} \cong S^2(p,q)$ be a simply connected Riemannian spindle orbifold, let γ be a separeting loop on $\mathcal{O}$ and let $\Gamma : S^1 \times [0,T) \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{reg}}$ be the maximal evolution of γ under the curve-shortening flow in the regular part of $\mathcal{O}$. Then the curve γ either*

(i) shrinks to a round point in the regular part of $\mathcal{O}$ in finite time or
(ii) collapses into a singular point of $\mathcal{O}$ in finite time or
(iii) $T = \infty$ and γ stays in the regular part of $\mathcal{O}$ forever.

Not that the first case can only occur if $\mathcal{O}$ has at most one singular point.

Proof. We only have to exclude the case that Γ hits a singular point x of $\mathcal{O}$ in finite time $T < \infty$ without collapsing into this point. Suppose this were the case. By Lemma 4.2 we can assume that the order p of x is even and that the flow does not approach a singular point of odd order at time T. Since p and q are coprime by assumption, the order q must be odd. We can assume that there exists a point $y \neq x$ on $\mathcal{O}$ such that the flow avoids a whole neighborhood of y, such that each Γ_t separates x and y and such that $\mathcal{O}\backslash\{x,y\}$ lies in the regular part of $\mathcal{O}$. In fact, for $q > 1$ we can choose y to be the singular point of odd order q and otherwise we can apply Lemma 4.1. As in the proof of Lemma 4.2 the open subset $\mathcal{O}\backslash\{y\}$ of $\mathcal{O}$ admits a p-fold manifold covering M with a cyclic group of deck transformations G of order p that acts by rotations around the preimage $\hat{x}$ of x in M. Also, the preimages $\hat{\Gamma}_t$ of $\Gamma_t(S^1)$ in M are embedded G-invariant circles and are solutions of the curve-shortening flow. Since Γ avoids a neighborhood of y and since $\hat{\Gamma}_t$ does not converge to a point at time T, the flow $\hat{\Gamma}_t$ can be extended to a flow $\hat{\Gamma} : S^1 \times [0,T') \to M$ with $T < T'$. Now the fact that Γ hits x at time T implies that the extended flow $\hat{\Gamma}$ develops a self-crossing at time T. This contradicts embeddedness and hence the claim follows. □

Using compactness and the fact that the curvature converges to zero in infinite time, one proves as in the manifold case

Lemma 4.4. *In the situation of the preceding Proposition, case (iii), the loop subconverges to a nontrivial (orbifold) geodesic.*

Note that the limit geodesic obtained in Lemma 4.4 is a priori not necessarily contained in the regular part of $\mathcal{O}$.

Proposition 4.5. *On every simply connected Riemannian spindle orbifold $\mathcal{O} \cong S^2(p,q)$ there exists a separating geodesic. In particular, there exists a closed geodesic in the regular part of any spindle orbifold.*

Remark 4.6. The first statement is optimal in the sense that there exist Riemannian metrics on $S^2(p,q)$ all of whose geodesics are closed but with only one embedded geodesic [La16b].

Proof. Choose distinct $x, y \in \mathcal{O}$ such that $\mathcal{O}\backslash\{x,y\}$ is contained in the regular part of $\mathcal{O}$. We smoothly foliate $\mathcal{O}\backslash\{x,y\}$ by circles separating x and y. Then, under the curve-shortening flow small circles near x flow to a point in finite time, and so do small circles near y [G89, Lem. 7.1]. However, the orientations of the limiting points will be opposite in both cases. Hence, by continuous dependence of the flow on the initial conditions there must be some circle γ in the middle that does not flow to a point in finite time, but instead stays in the regular part of $\mathcal{O}$ forever by Proposition 4.2. By Lemma 4.4 this circle subconverges to some orbifold geodesic c. It remains to show that c is contained in the regular part. In fact, in this case c is embedded and separating as a limit of embedded and separating loops. Let γ_i be a subsequence of the curve-shortening flow Γ_t that converges to c. Suppose that c hits a singular point, say x of order p. We can assume that the γ_i and c avoid a neighborhood of a point y' on $\mathcal{O}$. The open subset $\mathcal{O}\backslash\{y'\}$ of $\mathcal{O}$ admits a p-fold orbi-cover $\hat{\mathcal{O}}$ with a cyclic group of deck-transformations G of order p that acts by rotations around the preimage $\hat{x}$ of x in $\hat{\mathcal{O}}$. Let $s_i \in S^1$ be a sequence such that $\gamma_i(s_i)$ converges to some $c(s) \neq \hat{x}$, $s \in S^1$. The restrictions of γ_i to $S^1\backslash\{s_i\}$ and of c to $S^1\backslash\{s\}$ can be lifted to embedded curves $\hat{\gamma}_i^j : S^1\backslash\{s_i\} \to \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathrm{reg}}$, $j = 1, \dots, p$, and to geodesics $\hat{c}^j : S^1\backslash\{s\} \to \hat{\mathcal{O}}$, $j = 1, \dots, p$, that are permuted by the deck transformation group G. We can choose the s_i, s and the j-numbering in such a way that $\hat{\gamma}_i^j$ converges to $\hat{c}^j$. Note that for fixed i the $\hat{\gamma}_i^j$ have disjoint images in $\hat{\mathcal{O}}$ since γ_i is embedded in $\mathcal{O}$. For $p > 2$ this yields a contradiction since the geodesics $\hat{c}^j$ intersect transversally at $\hat{x}$ in this case. Suppose that $p = 2$. In this case M is rotated about π around $\hat{x}$ by the deck transformation group and the orbifold geodesic c is reflected at the singular point x on $\mathcal{O}$. The only way for c to reverse its direction is by being reflected at a singular point of even order. Hence, by periodicity it has to be reflected at singular points of even order twice during a single period. Since p and q are coprime by assumption, this second reflection also has to occur at x. Moreover, this second reflection has to occur from a different direction, because otherwise there would have to be an additional encounter with the singularity of even order in between. Therefore, the $\hat{c}_j$ have transverse self-intersections at $\hat{x}$. Now, in this case the transversality argument from the case $p \geq 3$ yields a contradiction since the $\hat{\gamma}_i^j$ are embedded and hence the claim follows. □

5. Proof of the main result

As seen in Section 2.1 it is sufficient to prove our main result for simply connected spindle orbifolds. In view of our results from the preceding section, in this case a proof can be given similarly as in [B93] in the case of a 2-sphere as we will discuss now.

5.1. **Outline of the proof.** Let $\mathcal{O} \cong S^2(p,q)$ be a simply connected Riemannian spindle orbifold. By Lemma 4.5 there exists a separating geodesic $c : \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \to \mathcal{O}$. Suppose the following two conditions are satisfied.

(i) For every geodesic $d : [0,\infty) \to \mathcal{O}$ with initial point $d(0)$ on $c(S^1)$ there exists $t > 0$ with $d(t) \in c(S^1)$.

(ii) When we consider c as defined on $\mathbb{R}$ there exists a pair of conjugate points of c.

Note that the second statement is equivalent to the condition that for every $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ there exists $t_1 > t_0$ such that $c(t_0)$ and $c(t_1)$ are conjugate points of γ (cf. [Ly09, Cor. 1.3]). In this case Birkhoff's annulus map B_c can be defined on the closed annulus $S^1 \times [0,\pi]$ as follows (cf. [B93, F92] and [B27, VI. 10]). For $t \in S^1$ and $\alpha \in (0,\pi)$ consider a geodesic γ starting at $c(t)$ in a direction that forms an angle of α with $\dot{c}(t)$. Condition (i) guarantees the existence of some time t_2 at which γ returns to an encounter with c for the second time, say at $c(t')$. Then $\dot{\gamma}(t_2)$ and $c(t')$ enclose some angle $\alpha' \in (0,\pi)$ and one sets $B_c(t,\alpha) = (t',\alpha')$. In case of $\alpha = 0,\pi$ a second conjugate point can be used to extend the map B_c to all of $S^1 \times [0,\pi]$ in a continuous way. The map B_c is isotopic to the identity and preserves a canonical area measure related to the Liouville measure on the unit tangent bundle of S^2 which is invariant under the geodesic flow. Moreover, the restrictions of B_c to the two boundary components are inverse to each other. In this case the work of Franks [F92] implies that Birkhoff's annulus map has infinitely many periodic points (cf. [F92, Thm. 4.1]) and these points correspond to closed geodesics on $\mathcal{O}$.

In the following we show that the existence of infinitely many geodesics can still be shown if Birkhoff's annulus map cannot be defined.

5.2. **Simple closed geodesics without conjugate points.**

Proposition 5.1. *Suppose on a Riemannian spindle orbifold $\mathcal{O} \cong S^2(p,q)$ there exists a separating geodesic c without conjugate points. Then there exist infinitely many closed geodesics.*

Proof. The proof works similarly as the proof of [B93, Thm. 1]. We choose Riemannian manifold charts $X_0 = \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{reg}}$, X_x, X_y of $\mathcal{O}$ where $\mathcal{O}\backslash\{x,y\} \subset \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{reg}}$ and where X_x and X_y cover $\mathcal{O}\backslash\{y\}$ and $\mathcal{O}\backslash\{x\}$, respectively. Moreover, we choose finite-dimensional approximations $\Omega = \Omega^\kappa_X(k)$ and $P = \Lambda\mathcal{O}^\kappa(k)$ of Ω^κ_X (cf. Section 6.1) and $\Lambda\mathcal{O}^\kappa$ containing S^1c as described at the end of Section 6.1. Since c is separating, there exists a tubular neighborhood V of $c(S^1)$ in the regular part of $\mathcal{O}$ which is homeomorphic to an annulus. For every $\varepsilon > 0$ we let $U(V,\varepsilon)$ denote the set of $\gamma \in P$ which have energy $E(\gamma) < E(c) + \varepsilon$ and whose projections to $\mathcal{O}$ lie in V and are freely homotopic to c in V. Since we are looking for infinitely many closed geodesics we may assume that the only closed geodesics freely homotopic to c in V are those in S^1c. Moreover, we can choose V so small that every $\gamma \in U(V,\varepsilon)$ with $E(\gamma) < E(c)$ is disjoint from $c(S^1)$. This follows from the assumption that c does not have conjugate points and the Gauß Lemma, cf. [B93, Thm. 1]. If we choose arbitrarily small V and ε the

sets $U(V,\varepsilon)$ form a fundamental system of neighborhoods of S^1c in P. Therefore, we have that either (cf. [B93, Thm. 1])

(i) c is a local minimum of E or
(ii) c can be approximated by curves $\gamma \in P$ with $E(\gamma) < E(c)$ from both sides or
(iii) c can be approximated by curves $\gamma \in P$ with $E(\gamma) < E(c)$ precisely from one side.

In the second case it follows as in [B93, Thm. 1] that $H_1(\Lambda(c) \cup S^1c, \Lambda(c)) \neq 0$ and this implies the existence of infinitely many closed geodesics by Theorem 3.1.

In case (i) or (iii) let D be a disk bounded by $c(S^1)$ such that c cannot be approximated by closed curves in D with $E(\gamma) < E(c)$ and suppose that $x \in D$ has order p. The disk D is p-foldly covered by a disk $\hat{D}$ in X_x. A parametrization $\hat{c}$ of the boundary of $\hat{D}$ by arc-length is a geodesic that covers c p-times. We can choose finite-dimensional approximations Ω_m of Ω_X containing a homotopy in $\hat{D}$ from $\hat{c}^m$ to a point curve. As above we choose an annulus $V \supset c(S^1)$ so small that S^1c are the only closed geodesics freely homotopic to c in V. Moreover, we may assume that every $\gamma \in U(V,\varepsilon)$ which lies in the component V_0 of $V \backslash c(S^1)$ contained in D has energy $E(\gamma) \geq E(c)$. Hence we have $E(\gamma) > E(c)$ for all $\gamma \in U(V,\varepsilon) \backslash S^1c$ which are contained in D, because otherwise such a γ with $E(\gamma) = E(c)$ would be a closed geodesic freely homotopic to c. The analogous statement also holds for c^m [B93, p. 5]. In particular, the analogous statement also holds for $\hat{c}^m \in \Omega_m$. In this very situation min-max methods applied to homotopies in $\hat{D}$ from $\hat{c}^m$ to a point curve are used in the proof of [B93, Thm. 1] to show the existence of a sequence of closed geodesics $\hat{d}_m$ in $\hat{D}$ such that $E(\hat{d}_m)$ tends to infinity and such that the local groups $H_1(\Omega_X(\hat{d}_m) \cup S^1c, \Omega_X(\hat{d}_m))$ do not vanish. The gradient of the energy functional restricted to the finite-dimensional approximation is used to deform the homotopies and so the fact that $\hat{D}$ is bounded by a geodesic guarantees that the construction remains in $\hat{D}$. The resulting geodesics project to (orbifold) geodesics d_m in D with $E(d_m)$ tending to infinity and with $H_1(\Lambda(d_m) \cup S^1c, \Lambda(d_m)) = H_1(\Omega_X(\hat{d}_m) \cup S^1c, \Omega_X(\hat{d}_m)) \neq 0$. Therefore there exist infinitely many closed geodesics on $\mathcal{O}$ by Theorem 3.1. □

5.3. **The non-Birkhoff case.** In this section we study the case of a Riemannian spindle orbifold with a separating geodesic for which the corresponding Birkhoff map is not defined. We reduce the existence of infinitely many closed geodesics to Theorem 1. Finally we explain how this implies our main result. The following lemma is a special case of [B93, Lem. 2].

Lemma 5.2. *Suppose c is a separating geodesic with conjugate points on a Riemannian spindle orbifold. Then c can be approximated from either side by closed curves γ which are disjoint from c and satisfy $E(\gamma) < E(c)$. In particular, c can be approximated from either side by shorter, disjoint curves.*

Now we can prove

Proposition 5.3. *Suppose c is a separating geodesic with conjugate points on a simply connected Riemannian spindle orbifold $\mathcal{O} \cong S^2(p,q)$ and $d : (0,\infty) \to \mathcal{O}$ is a geodesic disjoint from c. Then there exist infinitely many closed geodesics.*

Proof. The proof is similar as the proof of [B93, Thm. 2]. However, we use the curve-shortening flow instead of Birkhoff's curve shortening process and simplify the second part of the argument. Let D be the component of $\mathcal{O} \backslash c(S^1)$ that contains $d(\mathbb{R})$. Since c is

separating by assumption, there exists an open neighborhood V of the closure of D in $\mathcal{O}$ that admits a Riemannian manifold chart $\hat{V}$. The geodesic d lifts to a geodesic $\hat{d}$ on $\hat{V}$ and the geodesic c is covered p-times by a geodesic $\hat{c}$ disjoint from $\hat{d}$. In [B93, Thm. 2] it is proven that the closure of every limit geodesic $\bar{d}$ of $\hat{d}$, that is every geodesic of the form $\bar{d}:\mathbb{R}\to\hat{V}$, $\bar{d}(t)=\exp_p(tv)$ where (p,v) is an accumulation point of $(\hat{d},\hat{d}')$ in $T\hat{V}$, is disjoint from $\hat{c}(S^1)$. In particular, the closure of the image $\tilde{d}$ in V of such a limit geodesic of $\hat{d}$ is disjoint from $c(S^1)$. Let U_1 be the component of $D\backslash\text{closure}(\tilde{d}(\mathbb{R}))$ that contains $c(S^1)$ in its closure. Since the closure of $\tilde{d}(\mathbb{R})$ is disjoint from $c(S^1)$, by Lemma 5.2 there is an embedded loop γ_1 in U_1 that is freely homotopic in the regular part of U_1 to c and shorter than c. We claim that the evolution Γ_t of γ_1 under the curve-shortening flow does not leave U_1. Otherwise there existed some t_1 minimal with the property that $\Gamma_{t_1}(S^1)$ is not contained in U_1. Let $x\in\Gamma_{t_1}(S^1)\cap U_1^C$. By the avoidance principle applied to c and γ_1 the only possibility could be that x is contained in the closure of $\tilde{d}(\mathbb{R})$. Since γ_1 is non-contractible in U_1 and since $\tilde{d}(\mathbb{R})$ is not a point, the point x is regular by Lemma 4.2 and so is Γ_{t_1} by Lemma 4.3. Let $d_0:\mathbb{R}\to\mathcal{O}$ be the geodesic which is tangent to Γ_{t_1} at x. By minimality of t_1 the geodesic d_0 is contained in the closure of $\tilde{d}(\mathbb{R})$. In particular, the flow of γ_1 and the (static) flow of d_0 touch at (x,t_1) for the first time. This is impossible by the maximum principle (cf. Section 4) and hence the evolution of γ_1 stays in U_1 as claimed. Moreover, since γ_1 is non-contractible in U_1 by assumption, it evolves in the regular part forever, i.e. we are in case (iii) of Lemma 4.2. By Lemma 4.4 the flow subconverges to a simple closed geodesic $\tilde{d}_1$ contained in the closure of U_1. The proof of Proposition 4.5 shows that this limit geodesic actually lies in the regular part of $\mathcal{O}$. It is distinct from c since γ_1 is shorter than c and the curve-shortening flow does not increase the arclength. By the choice of γ_1 the geodesic $\tilde{d}_1$ is separating and so we are done in this case by Proposition 5.1 if $\tilde{d}_1$ does not have conjugate points. Otherwise we define U_2 to be the component of $D\backslash\tilde{d}_1(S^1)$ whose closure contains c. This component is bounded by two geodesics and contained in the regular part of $\mathcal{O}$ by the construction of $\tilde{d}_1$. Moreover, it contains a non-contractible embedded loop γ_2 which is shorter than $\tilde{d}_1$ by Lemma 5.2 and hence also shorter than c. Again, letting γ_2 evolve under the curve-shortening flow the same argument as above yields a separating limit geodesic $\tilde{d}_2$ in $\overline{U}_2$ which is now distinct from both c and $\tilde{d}_1$ and hence contained in U_2. This process can be iterated. It either yields infinitely many (simple) closed geodesics on $\mathcal{O}$ with conjugate points or terminates at a separating geodesic without conjugate points which in turn implies the existence of infinitely many closed geodesics by Proposition 5.1. □

From what has been said in Section 5.1 we see that Propositions 5.3 and 5.1 imply the following statement.

Proposition 5.4. *Let c be a separating geodesic on a Riemannian spindle orbifold $\mathcal{O}\cong S^2(p,q)$ for which Birkhoff's annulus map B_c is not defined. Then there exist infinitely many closed geodesics.*

Recall from Section 5.1 that Frank's work implies the existence of infinitely many closed geodesics in the case in which Birkhoff's annulus map B_c can be defined (cf. Section 5.1). Therefore, in any case there are infinitely many closed geodesics on a Riemannian spindle orbifold. By the remark at the end of Section 2.1 our main result follows.

6. Appendix

6.1. **Orbifold loop spaces.** We summarize the description of an orbifold loop space from [GH06]. For a Riemannian orbifold $\mathcal{O}$ let X be the disjoint union of Riemannian manifold charts covering $\mathcal{O}$ and let $\mathcal{G}$ be the small category with set of objects X and arrows being the germs of change of charts of X. Then $(\mathcal{G}, X)$ with the usual topology of germs on $\mathcal{G}$ is an étale groupoid and $\mathcal{O}$ can be represented as $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{G}\backslash X$ [GH06, Sect. 2.1.4]. A *$\mathcal{G}$-loop based at $x \in X$* over a subdivision $0 = t_0 < t_1 \cdots < t_k = 1$ of the interval $[0,1]$ is a sequence $c = (g_0, c_1, g_1, \ldots, c_k, g_k)$ where

(i) $c_i : [t_{i-1}, t_i] \to X$ is of class H^1, i.e. c_i is absolutely continuous and the velocity functions $t \mapsto |\dot{c}_i(t)|$ are square integrable.
(ii) g_i is an element of $\mathcal{G}$ such that $\alpha(g_i) = c_{i+1}(t_i)$ for $i = 0, 1, \ldots, k-1$, $\omega(g_i) = c_i(t_i)$ for $i = 1, \ldots, k$ and $\omega(g_0) = \alpha(g_k) = x$. Here $\alpha(g)$ and $\omega(g)$ denote the source and the target of $g \in \mathcal{G}$ (cf. [GH06, Sect. 2.1.4]).

A $\mathcal{G}$-loop is called *geodesic* if all the c_i are geodesics and their velocities match up at the break points t_i via the g_i. A geodesic $\mathcal{G}$-loop gives rise to a closed geodesic on $\mathcal{O}$ in the sense of Definition 2.2. The space Ω_x is defined as the set of equivalence classes of $\mathcal{G}$-loops based at x under the equivalence relation generated by the following operations [GH06, Sect. 2.3.2, 3.3.2]:

(i) Given a $\mathcal{G}$-loop $c = (g_0, c_1, g_1, \ldots, c_k, g_k)$ over the subdivision $0 = t_0 < \cdots < t_k = 1$, we can add a subdivision point $t' \in (t_{i-1}, t_i)$ together with the unit element $g' = 1_{c_i(t')}$ to get a new sequence, replacing c_i in c by c_i', g',c_i'', where c_i' and c_i'' are the restrictions of c_i to the intervals $[t_{i-1}, t']$ and $[t', t_i]$ and where 1_y, $y \in X$ is the germ of the identity map at y.
(ii) Replace a $\mathcal{G}$-loop c by a new loop $c' = (g_0', c_1', g_1', \ldots, c_k', g_k')$ over the same subdivision as follows: for each $i = 1, \ldots, k$ choose H^1-maps $h_i : [t_{i-1}, t_i] \to \mathcal{G}$ such that $\alpha(h_i(t)) = c_i(t)$, and define $c_i' : t \mapsto \omega(h_i(t))$, $g_i' = h_i(t_i) g_i h_{i+1}(t_i)^{-1}$ for $i = 1, \ldots, k-1$, $g_0' = g_0 h_1(0)^{-1}$ and $g_k' = h_k(1) g_k$.

The space Ω_X is defined to be $\Omega_X = \bigcup_{x \in X} \Omega_x$. It admits a natural structure of a Riemannian Hilbert manifold [GH06, Sect. 3.3.2]. A $\mathcal{G}$-loop c defines a development $(M, \hat{\gamma})$ in the sense of Definition 2.4. A neighborhood of the equivalence class of c in Ω_X is isometric to a neighborhood of $\hat{\gamma}$ in ΛM. If $\mathcal{O}$ is compact, then Ω_X is complete with respect to the induced metric (cf. proof of [K82, Sect. 1.4]). An energy function can be defined on Ω_X whose critical points correspond to geodesic $\mathcal{G}$-loops [GH06, Sect. 3.4.1]. The groupoid $\mathcal{G}$ acts isometrically on the left on Ω_X. If $[c] \in \Omega_X$ is represented by the $\mathcal{G}$-loop $c = (g_0, c_1, g_1, \ldots, c_k, g_k)$ based at x and g is an element of $\mathcal{G}$ with $\alpha(g) = x$ and $\omega(g) = y$, then $g[c]$ is represented by $gc := (gg_0, c_1, g_1, \ldots, c_k, g_k g^{-1})$ [GH06, Sect. 2.3.3]. The quotient $|\Lambda\mathcal{O}| = \mathcal{G}\backslash\Omega_X$ is called the *free loop space* of $\mathcal{O}$. The quotient map $\Omega_X \to \mathcal{G}\backslash\Omega_X$ induces a natural structure of a Riemannian Hilbert orbifold on $|\Lambda\mathcal{O}|$ noted $\Lambda\mathcal{O}$ [GH06, Sect. 3.3.4]. Since Ω_X is complete as a metric space, so is $\Lambda\mathcal{O}$. The space $\Lambda\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{reg}}$ is naturally a subset of $\Lambda\mathcal{O}$ and coincides with the ordinary loop space of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{reg}}$ as a Riemannian manifold (cf. [K82]). If $\mathcal{O}$ has only isolated singularities, then the only elements of Ω_X with nontrivial $\mathcal{G}$-isotropy are the loops that project to a singular point of $\mathcal{O}$. In this case $\mathcal{G}$-equivalence

classes of $\mathcal{G}$-loops correspond to equivalence classes of developments discussed in Section 2.2 and $\Lambda\mathcal{O}$ can be described as in Section 2.2.

As in the manifold case the spaces $\Omega_X^\kappa = \Omega_X \cap E^{-1}([0,\kappa))$ and $\Lambda\mathcal{O}^\kappa = \Lambda\mathcal{O}^\kappa \cap E^{-1}([0,\kappa))$ admit finite-dimensional approximations [GH06, v.1].

Proposition 6.1 (Finite-dimensional approximation)**.** *Let $\mathcal{O}$ be a compact orbifold and let $\kappa \geq 0$ be given.*

(i) There exist $\epsilon > 0$ and a big enough k such that every element of Ω_X^κ can be represented by a $\mathcal{G}$-path $c = (g_0, c_1, g_1, \ldots, c_k, g_k)$ defined over the subdivision $o = t_0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_k = 1$, where $t_i = i/k$, and each $c_i(t_{i-1})$ is the center of a convex open geodesic ball of radius ϵ containing the image of c_i.

(ii) The space Ω_X^κ retracts by energy-nonincreasing deformation onto the subspace $\Omega_X^\kappa(k)$ whose elements are represented by $\mathcal{G}$-loops as above for which each c_i is a geodesic segment.

(iii) The restrictions of the energy function to Ω_X^κ and to $\Omega_X^\kappa(k)$ have the same critical points, and at each such point the nullity and the index are the same.

(iv) The orbifold $\Lambda\mathcal{O}^\kappa = \mathcal{G}\backslash\Omega_X^\kappa$ retracts by energy-nonincreasing deformation onto the finite-dimensional orbifold $\Lambda\mathcal{O}^\kappa(k) = \mathcal{G}\backslash\Omega_X^\kappa(k)$.

For a Riemannian spindle orbifold $\mathcal{O} = S^2(p,q)$ with a seperating geodesic c we can choose the following convenient charts. Let $x, y \in \mathcal{O}$ be two points in different components of the complement of c such that $\mathcal{O}\backslash\{x,y\}$ lies in the regular part of $\mathcal{O}$. We choose X to be the disjoint union of $X_0 = \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{reg}}$ and Riemannian manifold charts X_x and X_y covering $\mathcal{O}\backslash\{y\}$ p-foldly and $\mathcal{O}\backslash\{x\}$ q-foldly, respectively. With respect to this choice any $\mathcal{G}$-loop based at $z \in X_0$ that projects to $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{reg}}$ can be represented as $(1_z, c, 1_z)$ for an H^1-loop in X_0 with $c(0) = z = c(1)$ and can thus be identified with c. Any $\mathcal{G}$-equivalence class of $\mathcal{G}$-loops based at $z \in X_x$ which is represented by a $\mathcal{G}$-loop that projects to $\mathcal{O}\backslash\{y\}$ can be represented as $(1_z, c, g_z)$ for an H^1-loop in X_0 with $c(0) = z$ and $c(1) = gz$ and a deck transformation $g \in G_x$ of the covering $X_x \to \mathcal{O}\backslash\{y\}$.

6.2. **Homology generated by iterated geodesics.** In this section we explain why the proofs of [BK83, Thm. 3] and [BK83, Lem. 2] also work in the slightly more general situation of Theorem 3.1 and Lemma 6.2 which allow isolated orbifold singularities. The first step is to obtain [BK83, Thm. 1] in the following version. Here the map $\psi^m : \Lambda \to \Lambda$ sends a loop γ to its m-fold iteration γ^m.

Theorem 6.2. *Let Λ be the free loop space of a compact Riemannian orbifold $\mathcal{O}$ with isolated singularities. For some $\kappa > 0$ let $[g]$ be a class in $\pi_p(|\Lambda|, |\Lambda^\kappa|)$. Then $[g^m] := [\psi^m \circ g] \in \pi_p(|\Lambda|, |\Lambda^{\kappa m^2}|)$ is trivial for almost all $m \in \mathbb{N}$.*

In the manifold case the idea of the proof is the following. Given a homotopy $h : [a,b] \to \Lambda$, then $h^m := \psi^m \circ h$ is a naturally associated homotopy from $h^m(a)$ to $h^m(b)$. One can replace this homotopy, which pulls the m loops of $h^m(a)$ to $h^m(b)$ as a whole, by another homotopy $h_m : [a,b] \to \Lambda$ from $h_m(a) = h^m(a)$ to $h_m(b) = h^m(b)$ that pulls the m loops from $h^m(a)$ to $h^m(b)$ successively. The advantage of the new homotopy over the old one is that the energy of $h_m(t)$ depends only on $h(a)$ and $h(b)$ in the limit of large m and can thus be bounded appropriately. This construction can be applied fiberwise to a map

$g : (I^p, \partial I^p) \to (|\Lambda|, |\Lambda^\kappa|)$ with respect to a splitting $I^p = I^{p-1} \times I$ and yields a homotopic map with image in Λ^κ. The same construction can be carried out in the case of an orbifold with isolated singularities. In fact, all regularity issues occurring in [BK83] can be handled in the same way in this case since finite-dimensional approximations are also available for orbifold loop spaces (see Proposition 6.1) and since the whole construction can be assumed to take place away from the energy zero level set and hence in the manifold part of Λ.

The next step is to obtain a related result in homology as in [BK83, Theorem 2].

Theorem 6.3. *Let Λ be the free loop space of a compact Riemannian orbifold $\mathcal{O}$ with isolated singularities. Let H be an element of $H_*(\Lambda_\kappa, \Lambda^\kappa)$, where $\kappa > 0$ and Λ_κ is the union of all components of Λ intersecting Λ^κ. Let K be a finite set of integers $k \geq 2$. Then there exists $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that no $k \in K$ divides m and such that $\psi_*^m(H)$ vanishes in $H_*(\Lambda_\kappa, \Lambda^{\kappa m^2})$.*

The proof of [BK83, Theorem 2] can be taken verbatim as a proof for Theorem 6.3. For sufficiently large m one can construct a homotopy from a representative of $\psi_*^m(H)$ to a representative in $\Lambda^{\kappa m^2}$ by using Theorem 6.2 inductively.

Now we explain the proof of [BK83, Theorem 3] and why it generalizes to the setting of Theorem 3.1. Suppose that there exist only finitely many towers of closed geodesics on $\mathcal{O}$. Then all critical S^1-orbits on Λ are isolated. Moreover, by Lemma 2.6, (iii), and perhaps after choosing a different c, one can find $p \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $H_p(\Lambda(c) \cup S^1 c, \Lambda(c)) \neq 0$ and $H_q(\Lambda(d) \cup S^1 d, \Lambda(d)) = 0$ for every $q > p$ and every closed geodesic d with $\operatorname{ind}(d^m) = 0$ for all m. In the manifold case Lemma 2.6, (ii), implies that there exist integers $\{k_1, \dots, k_s\}$, $k_i \geq 2$, such that

$$\psi_*^m : H_p(\Lambda(c) \cup S^1 c, \Lambda(c)) \to H_p(\Lambda(c^m) \cup S^1 c^m, \Lambda(c^m))$$

is an isomorphism whenever none of the k_i divides m (for details see the proof of [BK83, Theorem 2]). The same conclusion holds in the present situation since its proof involves only local arguments. By Lemma 2.6, (i), and the assumption of only finitely many towers of closed geodesics there exists some $A > 0$ such that every closed geodesic d with $E(d) > A$ either satisfies $\operatorname{ind}(d) > p + 1$ or $\operatorname{ind}(d^m) = 0$ for all m. Hence one has $H_{p+1}(\Lambda(d) \cup S^1 d, \Lambda(d)) = 0$ whenever d is a closed geodesic with $E(d) > A$. Therefore, as in [BK83], standard arguments from Morse theory imply that $i_* : H_p(\Lambda(c^m) \cup S^1 c^m, \Lambda(c^m)) \to H_p(\Lambda, \Lambda(c^m))$ is one-to-one if $E(c^m) > A$. In particular, the composition $i_* \circ \psi_*^m : H_p(\Lambda(c) \cup S^1 c, \Lambda(c)) \to H_p(\Lambda, \Lambda(c^m))$ is one-to-one. Since c is not an absolute minimum in its free homotopy class, this contradicts Theorem 6.3.

Hence Theorem 3.1 holds. The proof of [BK83, Lemma 2] and Lemma 6.2 works as follows. $H_p(\Lambda(c_i) \cup S^1 c_i, \Lambda(c_i)) \neq 0$ implies $\operatorname{ind}(c_i) \leq p$ by Lemma 2.6, (iii). Since for every closed geodesic c either $\operatorname{ind}(c^m)$ grows linearly with m or $\operatorname{ind}(c^m) = 0$ for all m, the c_i can be iterates of a finite number of prime closed geodesics only if $\operatorname{ind}(c_i^m) = 0$ for some i and all m. In this case Theorem 3.1 proves the existence of infinitely many closed geodesics.

Acknowledgements. I would like to thank Nick Edelen and Alexander Lytchak for discussions and comments on a draft version of this paper. I also thank Victor Bangert and Stephan Wiesendorf for remarks and hints to the literature, respectively.

A partial support by the DFG funded project SFB/TRR 191 'Symplectic Structures in Geometry, Algebra and Dynamics' is gratefully acknowledged.

References

[AL86] U. Abresch and J. Langer, The normalized curve shortening flow and homothetic solutions, J. Differential Geom. **23** (1986) no. 2.

[B93] V. Bangert, *On the existence of closed geodesics on two-spheres*, Internat. J. Math. **4** (1993), no. 1, 1–10.

[BK83] V. Bangert and W. Klingenberg, *Homology generated by iterated closed geodesics*, Topology **22** (1983), no. 4, 379–388.

[B27] G. D. Birkhoff, *Dynamical systems*, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ. IX, Amer. Math. Soc. (1927).

[BH99] Martin R. Bridson and Anderé Haefliger, *Metric spaces of non-positive curvature*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, vol. 319, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, (1999).

[Bo07] J. E. Borzellino et al., *Closed geodesics on orbifolds of revolution*, Houston J. Math. **33** (2007), no. 4, 1011–1025.

[BL96] J. E. Borzellino and B. G. Lorica, *The closed geodesic problem for compact Riemannian* 2*-orbifolds*, Pacific J. Math. **175** (1996), no. 1, 39–46.

[Bo56] R. Bott, *On the iteration of closed geodesics and the Sturm intersection theory*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **9** (1956).

[BBI01] D. Burago, Y. Burago, and S. Ivanov, *A course in metric geometry*, volume 33 of Graduate Studies in Mathematics. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, (2001).

[CZ01] K.S. Chou and X.P. Zhu, *The curve shortening problem*, Chapman & Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, FL(2001).

[CMP15] T. H. Colding, W. P. Minicozzi, II and E. K. Pedersen, *Mean curvature flow*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) **52** (2015)no. 2.

[Da11] M. Davis, *Lectures on orbifolds and reflection groups*, in Transformation groups and moduli spaces of curves, Adv. Lect. Math. (ALM), 16, Int. Press, Somerville, MA, (2011), 63–93.

[F92] J. Franks, *Geodesics on* S^2 *and periodic points of annulus homeomorphisms*, Invent. Math. **108** (1992), no. 2.

[G89] M. A. Grayson, *Shortening embedded curves*, Ann. of Math. (2) **129** (1989), no. 1, 71–111.

[GM69a] D. Gromoll and W. Meyer, *Periodic geodesics on compact riemannian manifolds*, J. Differential Geometry **3** (1969), 493–510.

[GM69b] D. Gromoll and W. Meyer, *On differentiable functions with isolated critical points*, Topology **8** (1969), 361–369.

[GH06] K. Guruprasad and A. Haefliger, *Closed geodesics on orbifolds*, Topology **45** (2006), no. 3, 611–641, cf. arXiv.v1.

[HP96] G. Huisken and A. Polden, Geometric evolution equations for hypersurfaces, in *Calculus of variations and geometric evolution problems (Cetraro, 1996)*, 45–84, Lecture Notes in Math., 1713, Fond. CIME/CIME Found. Subser, Springer, Berlin.

[K82] W. Klingenberg, *Lectures on Closed Geodesics*, Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, Bd. 230. Berlin, Heidelberg, New York: Springer (1978).

[La16a] C. Lange, *Some results on orbifold quotients and related objects*, thesis, Universität zu Köln, (2016), published in: KUPS - Kölner UniversitätsPublikationsServer.

[La16b] C. Lange, *On metrics on* 2*-orbifolds all of whose geodesics are closed*, arXiv:1603.08455, (2016).

[Ly09] A. Lytchak, *Notes on the Jacobi equation*, Differential Geom. Appl. **27** (2009), no. 2

[P05] H. Poincaré, *Sur les lignes géodésiques des surfaces convexes*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **6** (1905), 237–274.

[Sc03] P. Scott, *The geometries of* 3*-manifolds*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **15** (1983), no. 5, 401–487.

[Thu79] W. P. Thurston, *The Geometry and Topology of Three-Manifolds*, Princeton University Press, 1979.

Christian Lange, Mathematisches Institut der Universität zu Köln, Weyertal 86-90, 50931 Köln, Germany

E-mail address: clange@math.uni-koeln.de